



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

5e

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,262	06/27/2001	TeckHock Ng	S01.12-0714/STL 9766	1862

7590 04/11/2005

Alan G. Rego  
Westman, Champlin & Kelly  
International Centre, Suite 1600  
900 Second Avenue South  
Minneapolis, MN 55402-3319

EXAMINER
----------

LE, DIEU MINH T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2114

DATE MAILED: 04/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/893,262	NG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Dieu-Minh Le	2114	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dieu-Minh Le. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Alan G. Rego [Reg. No. 45,956]. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06 April 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1- 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Rubinson et al. and Shin.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Rego explained the applicants' invention and the differences between the present invention and the prior art [figure 4 & figure 3 from the instant application]. Examiner indicated that the discussed feature is not clear in the claims as they are in the file. The attorney will file an amendment incorporating the limitation of claim 4 either by amendment after final or by filing an RCE.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required